IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 4811 OF 2005

Seema Ghotra ...Applicant

Versus

Gurmit Kaur & Ors ...Respondents

.....

Smt. Monika Sakhrani, Advocate for Applicant

Mr. Vinod Gupta for Rspondent Nos.1 to 3.

Mr. D.P. Adsule, A.P.P. for State.

.....

CORAM: SMT. V.K. TAHILRAMANI, J.

DATED: 23RD AUGUST, 2005

P. C.:-

1. Heard the learned advocate for the applicant i.e. original complainant, the learned advocate for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 i.e. original accused and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The applicant who is the original complainant in C.R. No. I-139/2003 of CBD Belapur police station, has preferred this application for quashing of the said C.R. and the case relating thereto i.e. Case No. 198/2004 which is pending before the J.M.F.C. Vashi. The applicant was married to the respondent No.3. Some disputes arose between the parties, hence, the said C.R. came to be registered.

3. The learned advocate for the applicant has submitted that the dispute has been amicably settled between the parties and the parties have entered into consent terms. The said consent terms have been filed in petition No. A-863 of 2005 which is pending before the Family Court at Bandra, Mumbai. The learned advocate for the complainant states that in view of the consent terms the matter has been amicably settled between the parties and the complainant does not wish to pursue her complaint. Looking to the fact that the complainant does not wish to pursue her compliant and in order to maintain peace and amicable relations between the parties, I am inclined to quash the said case i.e. Case No.198/2004. Accordingly, the case No. 198 of 2004 and the proceedings relating thereto are quashed.

4. Application is disposed of in the above terms.

*****